

# America's Child Care Crisis: A Crime Prevention Tragedy

**A Report From**

**FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS**

by

**Sanford Newman, J.D.**  
President, FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS

**T. Berry Brazelton, M.D.**  
Professor Emeritus, Harvard Medical School

**Edward Zigler, Ph.D.**  
Sterling Professor of Psychology, Yale University

**Lawrence W. Sherman, Ph.D.**  
Greenfield Professor of Human Relations, University of Pennsylvania

**William Bratton**  
Former Police Commissioner, New York City

**Jerry Sanders**  
Former Chief of Police, San Diego

**William Christeson, M.H.S.**  
Research Director, FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS

## Acknowledgments

This FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS report is made possible by support from:

David and Lucile Packard Foundation • The Ford Foundation • John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation • William T. Grant Foundation

We appreciate their grants and those of all our supporters. Other major funders of FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS national operations and state offices in California, Illinois, Michigan, New York, North Carolina, and Pennsylvania include:

Robert R. McCormick Tribune Foundation • James Irvine Foundation • Edna McConnell Clark Foundation • Institute for Civil Society • The After-School Corporation • Chicago Community Trust • Robert Sterling Clark Foundation • Naomi and Nehemiah Cohen Foundation • J.M. McDonald Foundation • The J.P. Morgan Charitable Trust • Penney Family Fund • Rockefeller Family Fund • Woods Fund of Chicago • American Income Life Insurance Co. • Blum-Kovler Foundation • California Consumer Protection Foundation • California Wellness Foundation • Nathan Cummings Foundation • William C. Graustein Memorial Fund • Irving B. Harris Foundation • The Heyman Family Fund • International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers • The A.L. Mailman Foundation • Oppenheimer Family Fund

Sanford A. Newman  
President  
FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS

This report is available free of charge on the  
FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS web site  
<http://www.fightcrime.org>

Copies may also be ordered by sending \$12 for postage and handling to FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS, Child Care Report, 2000 P Street N.W., Ste. 240 Washington, D.C. 20036

Readers interested in being kept up to date on crime prevention research and policy developments may subscribe to the FIGHT CRIME listserv at

[www.fightcrime.org/email.html](http://www.fightcrime.org/email.html)

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS is a non-profit anti-crime organization which gratefully accepts tax-deductible contributions.

# **America’s Child Care Crisis: A Crime Prevention Tragedy**

## **Contents**

<b>Key Findings</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter One: School Readiness Child Care Prevents Crime</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Chapter Two: Child Storage Isn’t Child Care</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Chapter Three: Too Many Families Can’t Afford Adequate Child Care</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Chapter Four: Federal and State Governments Fall Short of Investment Needed to Protect Public Safety</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Chapter Five: Penny-Wise, Pound-Foolish Policy Choices: Wasting Money and Lives</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Chapter Six: From the Front Lines of the Battle Against Crime: A Call for Action</b>	<b>19</b>

## Key Findings

A comprehensive analysis of recent ground-breaking research shows:

### **1. Federal, state and local governments could greatly reduce crime and violence by assuring families access to school readiness child care programs.**

- New research from a large 14-year study of children who had been enrolled in government-funded Child-Parent Centers shows that compared to children in the program, similar children who did not receive this school readiness child care had 70 percent more arrests for violent crime by age 18.
- A 22-year study of the High/Scope Perry Preschool program shows that denying at-risk children quality child development programs may multiply by five times our risk that they will be chronic lawbreakers as adults.
- Children from centers improved by North Carolina’s “Smart Start” initiative were only about half as likely as comparison children to have behavior problems in kindergarten.
- Large national studies show that at-risk kids who attend high-quality child care programs have no more behavior problems at age eight than children of college-educated parents.

### **2. Low- and moderate-income working parents cannot pay what good child care programs cost any more than they could pay the full cost of sending their kids to public school.**

- Adequate care for two children in a child care center can easily cost over \$12,000 a year—about \$2,000 more than a full-time minimum wage worker earns.
- In every state the cost for an infant to attend a good urban child care center is higher than the cost of tuition at a public university.

### **3. Governments are dropping the ball.**

- Head Start, the principal federal school readiness program for children in poverty, is so underfunded that it can serve only about half of the eligible three- and four-year-olds, and can serve most of them for only part of the time parents are working. Early Head Start can save only about one in fifty of the eligible children age zero to three.
- The Child Care and Development Block Grant, designed to help low-income parents pay for child care, can serve one out of eight eligible children, and provides funds too meager to purchase adequate care.
- Some state initiatives, like Kentucky’s Family Resource Centers, Georgia’s universal access to pre-kindergarten programs for 4-year olds, North Carolina’s Smart Start, and Ohio’s Families and Children First initiative, are making critical contributions. But no state is yet close to meeting the full need for quality child care programs

### **4. Investing now in school readiness child care and development programs will yield such crime reductions and other benefits that governments will have more money for Social Security, tax cuts, or any other purpose in the years ahead.**

# America's Child Care Crisis: A Crime Prevention Tragedy

## A Report from FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS

### Introduction

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS is a national anti-crime organization led by hundreds of those on the front lines of the battle against crime and violence: police chiefs, sheriffs, police organization leaders, prosecutors, crime victims and those from whom murder has taken loved ones.

Our members are determined to put dangerous criminals behind bars. But we also know that no punishment after someone gets hurt can undo the agony crime leaves in its wake. When children don't get the right start in life, all of us are endangered. Good school readiness child care is one of our most powerful weapons against crime, while poor quality child care multiplies the risk that children will grow up to be a threat to every American family. Yet millions of eligible children are missing out on the help they need to get that right start in life.

In a recent survey conducted by George Mason University professors Stephen Mastrofski and Scott Keeter, nearly nine of ten police chiefs said America could greatly reduce crime by expanding educational child care programs and after-school programs. Nine out of ten said that if America doesn't boost investments in child care programs now, it will pay far more later in crime, welfare, and other costs.<sup>1</sup>

When police chiefs were asked to rate various strategies "on their value as a crime prevention tool," educational child care was given the highest rating for effectiveness by three to ten times more chiefs than such alternatives as trying more juveniles as adults, building more juvenile detention facilities, or installing more metal detectors in schools.<sup>2</sup>


### Just the Facts Please

This report isn't about ideology or philosophy; it's about facts. Like other Americans,

#### Police Chiefs Say Child Care Will Reduce Crime, Save Money

Nine out of ten agreed: "If America does not make greater investments in after-school and educational child care programs to help children and youth now, **we will pay far more later** in crime, welfare and other costs."

We will  
pay far  
more later  
if we don't  
invest now



Greater  
invest-  
ments are  
not worth  
the payoff  
later

George Mason University Survey, 2000

---

**'Failing to provide those youngsters with quality child care multiplied by five times the risk that they would become chronic lawbreakers'**

---

crime fighters and academic researchers hold a range of views on whether it would be desirable for more parents to leave the workforce to stay home with their children. The fact is most parents are working, and their children are in some form of child care.<sup>3</sup> Their tender minds and emotions are being powerfully shaped by the quality of that care.<sup>4</sup>

The issue for law enforcement is whether the care the children receive while their parents are working will be good enough to help the kids get a good start in life, or whether it will be care that damages their development and ultimately endangers the public safety. Wishful thinking won't save lives. Good educational child care will.

The time for philosophical debate about whether such investments "might work" is past. The proof is in, and the facts are clear.

### **The Good News: School Readiness Child Care Prevents Crime and Violence**


School readiness child care yields extraordinary returns, saving lives and even saving tax dollars.<sup>5</sup> Nurturing, stimulating child care teaches

youngsters to get along with others, to care about others, and to start school ready to succeed, and helps them become the contributing citizens and good neighbors we all want them to be.

Powerful evidence from one study after another proves that quality educational child care in the first years of life can greatly reduce the risk that today's babies and toddlers will become tomorrow's violent teens and adults.<sup>6</sup>

For example, in one study, half of a group of at-risk three- and four-year-olds were randomly assigned to be in the High/Scope Perry preschool program until they started kindergarten. Twenty-two years later, those who had been left out were five times more likely to have become chronic lawbreakers, with five or more arrests.<sup>7</sup>

New research shows that similar gains can be achieved not only in small experimental programs, but also in large-scale government-funded programs. The Chicago Child-Parent



Centers have now served 100,000 children in Chicago’s poorest neighborhoods compared to 989 children served by the program in 1984, similar youngsters who did not receive this school child care were 70 percent more likely to be arrested for violent crimes by age 18.<sup>8</sup>

Most “at-risk” kids, even if they must overcome poor child care, grow up to be contributing adults. But many fall short of making the contribution they could have made if they had been in better child care as babies and toddlers. And new research confirms that failing to ensure at-risk children access to quality child care can actually multiply the danger that they will grow up with problem behaviors that can lead to later crime and violence against America’s families.<sup>9</sup>

## **The Bad News: Millions of Working Families Can’t Afford Adequate Child Care.**

Today, millions of children are getting child care so inadequate it should be called child storage.

Even though many child care teachers are working for poverty wages, often with little training and high turnover, full day child care for two children can easily cost \$12,000 per year.<sup>10</sup> That’s way out of reach for parents making \$10,500 a year at full-time minimum wage jobs, and for millions of other struggling families.

These families—mostly young and still near the lowest earning levels of their working lives—can no more afford to pay for adequate child care during these years than they could pay the full cost of the education we provide in our public schools.

## **Protecting the Public Safety Requires Child Care Help for Families.**

Just as government couldn’t meet its responsibility to protect the public safety without providing public schooling, it can’t protect the public safety without assuring access to adequate educational child care.

- The Head Start child development program remains so under-funded it can serve only about half of the three- and four-year-olds eligible, and usually for just half of their parents’ work day, for only part of the year.<sup>11</sup>
- Programs like Early Head Start, designed for kids under three, have funds to serve only one in fifty of the children who need them.<sup>12</sup>
- The Child Care and Development Fund, which assists communities in helping working families afford adequate child care, can serve only one out of every eight of those families that are eligible,<sup>13</sup> and provides them with stipends so meager that adequate care usually remains out of

---

**‘Inadequate child care can actually multiply the danger that at-risk children will grow up with problem behaviors that can lead to later crime and violence’**

---

reach.<sup>14</sup> In addition, this money can be spent on unlicensed care, so there is even less assurance that this funding is being used for the type of quality child care that is needed for developing children.<sup>15</sup>

## **Saving Lives, and Money.**

The High/Scope Perry Preschool program showed that the public gains seven dollars for every dollar invested in quality preschool programs.<sup>16</sup> The new study of the Chicago Child-Parent Centers shows that the savings to victims and taxpayers from serving 100,000 kids will total \$2.6 billion.<sup>17</sup>

## **The Public Gets It.**

---

**‘The Child Care and Development Fund... can serve only one out of every ten of those families that are eligible, and provides them with stipends so meager that adequate care usually remains out of reach’**

---

Americans now realize that, if we want our families to be safe, we all have a stake in making sure that every working family has access to adequate educational child care programs. Polls conducted for FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS by the Opinion Research Corporation showed:

- By a margin of nine to one, Americans said crime could be greatly reduced by boosting investment in child care, after-school programs, and other similar programs.
- By a margin of three to one they would be prepared either to pay more in taxes or pass up a tax cut to provide kids with such programs.

When the public was asked to pick among national priorities including paying off the debt, new highways, and the military, providing access to child care and after-school programs was picked as one of the two top priorities, in a virtual tie with shoring up Social Security and Medicare.

## **The People on the Front Lines Get It.**

For those of us in FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS who are on the front lines in the battle against crime, the once-quiet crisis in child care is now noisy, pervasive, insistent and tragic. It screams through our police sirens rushing to yet another crime that didn’t have to happen. We hear it in the sobs of the thousands of victims of violence and their families.

## **It’s Time for Elected Officials to Get It.**

America has a tremendous opportunity. It can greatly reduce crime and violence by making sure that when parents are at work, children have access to quality educational child care. If we continue to pass up that opportunity, we will pay a tragic price. Make no mistake about it: our nation’s child care crisis is a crime prevention crisis.

Sanford A. Newman  
President

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS

# 1: School Readiness Child Care Prevents Crime

Rigorous behavioral studies, hard experience and brain research tell the same story: in the first several years of life, children’s intellects and emotions are being powerfully shaped.

The kind of stimulation and interaction the child receives—touching, holding, rocking, talking, showing—determines the permanent development of the brain. While learning continues throughout life, this brain development proceeds at an astounding pace in the first several years.<sup>18</sup>

Recent brain research is confirmed by years of behavioral research comparing children who had good nutrition, stimulation, and nurturing when they were babies with others not so lucky. These nurturing factors have a substantial impact on brain function at age twelve, and an even greater impact by age fifteen.<sup>19</sup>

The child care programs which have proven most effective in preventing future delinquency and crime are those that supplement quality developmental day care with home visits and other efforts to coach parents in child-rearing skills.<sup>20</sup> For example:

**High/Scope Perry Preschool Program.** In Ypsilanti, Michigan, the High/Scope Educational Research Foundation randomly divided low-income three- and four-year-olds into two groups. Half received no special services, while the others were enrolled in a quality preschool program, including a weekly home visit, until they started kindergarten. When the children reached age 27, arrest records showed that those who had received quality preschooling were only one-fifth as likely to be “chronic offenders,” with more than four arrests.<sup>21</sup>


In other words, those who were denied the quality preschool and parenting education visitor program as preschoolers were five times more likely to become chronic lawbreakers in adulthood!

**Syracuse University Family Development Program.** Researchers found that subsequent delinquency was cut dramatically when families were provided educational child care, parenting-education home visits, and other services beginning prenatally and continuing until the children began elementary school. Ten years later:

## Educational Child Care Reduces Future Crime

At-risk three- and four-year-olds who didn’t receive the preschool and weekly home visits were five times more likely to become chronic offenders (more than four arrests) by age 27.

### Chronic Lawbreakers at Age 27



High/Scope Perry Preschool Program

**Kids Denied Quality Child Care Compiled Serious Criminal Records:**

Crimes Committed\* Ten Years After the Program Ended (Ages 13-16).

Those who did receive the program	Those who did not receive the program
Juvenile Delinquency	Juvenile Del. Juvenile Del. Juvenile Del. Criminal Mischief Violation of Probat. Petit Larceny Petit Larceny Burglary Attempted Assault Robbery Robbery Assault Sexual Assault

\* Excludes non-crime "Ungovernable" cases where the juvenile court has ruled the child is out of the control of adults.

Syracuse University Family Development Program

• Among those children who had not received the early childhood services, nearly one in five had already been charged with an offense. Nearly one in ten were already "chronic offenders," with more than four arrests or charges of being ungovernable. And, as the inset shows, many of these offenses were serious.

• Among those children who had received the extra services, only one in twenty had even been charged with being ungovernable, and only 1.5 percent had actually been delinquent.<sup>22</sup>

In other words, failing to provide these babies and toddlers with good educational child care and related services multiplied by ten times the risk that they would become delinquent as teens.

Of course, the benefits of these programs are not limited to crime prevention. When the High/Scope toddlers became adults, for example, they were far better able to support themselves and their families than those left out of the program. Among males, the children who received preschool and home visitor services were seven times more likely to earn over \$24,000 a year.<sup>23</sup>

While earnings were lower for females, nearly three times as many of the preschooled females as of those left out of the program (48 percent vs. 18 percent) were earning more than \$12,000 a year. Those who received preschool and home visits as toddlers were also 25 percent less likely ever to have received welfare or other

means-tested social services as adults.<sup>24</sup>

Females who had participated in the preschool program were two and a half times more likely to earn a high school diploma (84 percent vs. 35 percent), five times more likely to be married at age 27 (40 percent vs. 8 percent) and had one-third fewer out-of-wedlock births than the control group.<sup>25</sup>

High/Scope Perry Preschool			
Outcomes at age 27		Perry	Controls
All	Chronic Offending	7%	35%
Females	Earn > \$12,00/year	48%	18%
Females	High School Diploma	84%	35%
Females	Married at age 27	40%	8%
Males	Earn > \$24,000/year	42%	6%
Females	Receiving Gov. \$	26%	59%

But the bottom line for law enforcement is that providing these proven "right-start" services dramatically reduces the risk that children will grow up to become criminals. Failing to provide kids with these services sharply increases crime and costs lives.

**Getting Results in the Real World:** These results are not limited to small, model programs. For example, North Carolina's pioneering Smart Start program is spending \$78 million a year on enhancing access to quality child care and other services for children under six. A new study by the University of North Carolina's Frank Porter Graham Center shows that children in the child care centers receiving substantial quality-improvement help from Smart Start were only about half as likely to have behavior problems in kindergarten.<sup>26</sup>

The flip side of that statement is equally telling: Kids in centers not receiving the Smart Start services were nearly twice as likely to be disruptive in kindergarten.<sup>27</sup> This is important because research consistently shows that children who exhibit problem behaviors in the early grades are at far greater risk than other children of becoming teen delinquents and adult criminals.<sup>28</sup>


A nationwide study of child care confirms the point that quality matters when it comes to problem behaviors later in life. *The Children of the Cost Quality Study Go to School* research was conducted in four different states by a team from four different universities. The study first rated child care centers for quality. Years later, when the children who had been in the various centers were eight years old, their behavior was evaluated.

Children of high school-educated mothers who received good quality child care had no more behavior problems than the children of college-educated mothers. But, children of high school-educated mothers from poor quality child care had significantly more behavior problems.<sup>29</sup> [See the graph on p. 8]. Good quality childcare levels the playing field.

If any doubt remained that a large-scale government-funded initiative could produce results similar to High/Scope's Perry Preschool, it has been erased by the new results from a long-term study of Chicago's Child-Parent Centers (CPC) that have now served 100,000 three- and four-year-olds in Chicago's poorest neighborhoods. Compared to the 989 children served in 20 CPCs

### Problem Behaviors Cut in Half By North Carolina's Smart Start:

Comparison children were almost twice as likely to score poorly on behavior problems in Kindergarten as kids who attended Smart Start Centers that received quality supports (Smart Start Direct).




Frank Porter Graham Center

### Children Not in the Child Parent Centers Were 70% More Likely to be Arrested for a Violent Crime

Compared to the three- and four-year-olds enrolled in this quality child care program, those left out were 70% more likely to be arrested for a violent crime by age 18.

#### Any Violent Crime Arrest by Age 18



Chicago Child-Parent Center

---

**‘Children who exhibit problem behaviors in the early grades are at greater risk than other children of becoming teen delinquents and adult criminals’**

---


in 1984, similar youngsters left out of the program were 70 percent more likely to have been arrested for a violent crime by age 18, 67 percent more likely to have been retained a grade in school and 85 percent more likely to have been placed in special education. The children who received the CPC program were 29 percent more likely to graduate from high school than the kids left out of the program.<sup>30</sup>

Taken as a whole, these research breakthroughs make clear that “early care and nurture have a decisive, long-lasting impact on how people develop, their ability to learn, and their capacity to regulate their own emotions.”<sup>31</sup> It is to our distinct advantage to put the teachings of this science to work.

### Quality Child Care Prevents School Behavior Problems:

At age eight, at-risk children who had been in *good* child care centers in the years before they started school had no more behavior problems than children of the best-educated mothers. But those at-risk kids who had been in *poor* quality child care centers had significantly higher behavior problems.

Problem Behaviors Score at Age 8



The Children of the Cost, Quality and Outcomes Study Go to School

## 2: Child Storage Isn't Child Care

Especially for children burdened by other disadvantages, providing poor child care rather than quality care damages their potential to become contributing adults and increases the danger that they will grow up to engage in crime.

Of course, when their parents are working, nearly all preschool children will receive some kind of care, of whatever quality. Marriott Corporation executive Donna Klein reports that every day she finds children of service workers in hotel lobbies and dangerous cleaning supply rooms, because their low-wage working parents are unable to find decent child care at an affordable price.<sup>32</sup> Other parents may take their children to family day care homes in which a provider trying to make a living while keeping fees down is caring for too many babies and toddlers, or knows too little about child development to provide the nurturing and stimulation they need. As children reach school age, and sometimes even before, desperate parents may reluctantly leave them to “take care of themselves.”

Recent research makes clear that “quality child care” must do far more than keep children safe from immediate physical injury. Good child care stimulates and nurtures children to maximize their healthy intellectual and emotional development.<sup>33</sup>

We can no more afford to accept child care that is merely *custodial* than we could accept assigning some children to public schools that are *custodial* rather than *instructional*.<sup>34</sup>

As the Committee for Economic Development, a group of business executives from major corporations, said, “All programs for children from birth to age five—whether designated as child care, early childhood education or preschool—should focus on their educational and developmental needs and take into account what children will need to succeed in school and in life.”<sup>35</sup>

The programs that have been proven to have the most substantial impact in reducing antisocial behavior, delinquency, and adult crime were quality programs. Staff-child ratios in such quality educational child care programs were one adult for every three or four infants and toddlers, or one adult for every six preschoolers; staff were well-trained and supervised;<sup>36</sup> and the programs included parenting-education components.

Just as high-quality care can markedly reduce the risk of delinquency and other unhappy outcomes, low-quality care leads to increased risk of such results.<sup>37</sup> Unfortunately, much of the child care America’s children are receiving is substandard. *The Cost, Quality, and Outcome Study* rated 400 randomly selected child care centers on a scale of one to seven

---

**‘We can no more  
afford to accept child  
care that is merely  
*custodial* than we  
could accept  
assigning some  
children to public  
schools that are  
*custodial* rather than  
*instructional*’**

---


ranging across the following characteristics: “1) Inadequate—children’s needs for health and safety are not met, there is no observed warmth or support from adults, and no learning is encouraged. 3) Minimal—children’s basic health and safety needs are met, a little warmth and support is provided by adults, and a few learning experiences are provided; 5) Good—health and safety needs are fully met, warmth and support is provided for all children, and learning is encouraged in many ways through interesting, fun activities. 7) Excellent—all of the characteristics of good care are present, and children are encouraged to become independent, teachers plan for children’s individual learning needs, and adults have close, personal relationships with each child.”<sup>38</sup>

The study found that one in ten preschool centers and four in ten infant care centers were of such poor quality (scoring 1-2 on their scale) that they may jeopardize the children’s development. In contrast, on average only 14 percent of all the centers studied achieved developmentally adequate quality (scoring 5-7).<sup>39</sup>

Of *unregulated* family day care, three percent is of good quality, and of regulated family day care, 12 percent is of good quality.<sup>40</sup>

### A Critical Shortage of Quality Child Care

Quality ratings of preschool and infant child care centers. (The quality ratings are explained in the text.)



### 3: Too Many Families Can't Afford Adequate Child Care

Today, in large part because so many parents cannot afford quality care, millions of children are in child care so inferior in quality that it not only fails to produce positive results but may actually damage their development.<sup>41</sup>

In 1991, the Committee for Economic Development declared, "The lack of availability of quality child care that is developmentally appropriate, has educational value, and is affordable has created a crisis of national proportions that affects most families but hits low-income families the hardest."<sup>42</sup>

Into the new millennium, that crisis has escalated.

Today, only 23 percent of all families with children younger than age six have one parent working and one at home.<sup>43</sup> One out of four children lives with only one parent,<sup>44</sup> and half of all children can now expect to live an average of at least five years in a single-parent family.<sup>45</sup>

Child care is expensive. In fact, a survey by the Children's Defense Fund and the National Child Care Resource and Referral Association found that: "In every state, the cost of care for an infant in an urban area center is more than the cost of public college tuition." In more than half the states, it is more than double the cost of college. The statewide average cost of care for an infant ranges from \$3,692 in Mississippi to \$9,509 in Minnesota. In seven states, the average cost is more than \$7,500 per year.<sup>46</sup> Care for a four-year-old costs less than for an infant, but the statewide averages still range from \$3,380 in Mississippi to \$7,839 in Alaska.

Especially at the lower end of the average range, the quality of much of the care provided is woefully inadequate.<sup>47</sup> It is nearly impossible for child care centers to provide necessary staff-to-child ratios and group sizes, and pay enough to retain appropriately trained staff, without charging higher fees.<sup>48</sup>

The costs cited above are statewide averages. Experts estimate that quality care for infants and toddlers in many cities can rarely be found for less than \$10,000 a year.<sup>49</sup>

Census Bureau data show that, of families paying for child care, families with incomes over \$54,000 a year are spending 6 percent of


---

**'In every state, the cost of care for an infant in an urban area center is more than the cost of public college tuition'**

---

#### Low Income Families Pay One Quarter of Their Income for Child Care

The percentage of family income going for child care (of families who pay for any type of care).



The Census Bureau

their income on child care. But families with less than \$14,000 annual income are spending on average 25 percent of their income on child care. Even with that huge portion of their income going to child care, poor families are able to pay only half as much for child care as families with incomes over \$54,000 per year.<sup>50</sup>

How can a single parent with two children, working full time at \$10,500 a year pay for child care that can easily cost \$12,000 a year?<sup>51</sup> Even two parents making \$21,000 a year, cannot afford that. Clearly, unless government helps, quality child care is simply not an available option for most of the families whose children are most at risk.

Today, school readiness child care and after-school programs are financially out of reach for millions of America's most at-risk children and youth. Young families, most of whom are still near the lowest earning levels of their working lives, can no more afford to pay for quality child care than they could afford to pay the full cost of the education we provide through public schools.

---

**'How can a single parent with two children working full time at \$10,500 a year pay for child care that can easily cost \$12,000 a year?'**

---

High-quality child care and child development programs are least available to those who derive the most benefit from them—poor children.<sup>52</sup>

Research shows that children whose mothers have lower levels of education receive the greatest benefits from high quality child care, and are most sensitive to the negative effects of poor quality care. For example, one study shows that, when they start first grade, middle-class children have been exposed to an average of 1,000 to 1,700 hours of one-on-one picture book reading, but that the average for low-income children is only 25 hours!<sup>53</sup>

Among those children from low- and moderate-income families who are enrolled in child care programs, the services their families are able to afford are typically inadequate. As the Carnegie Corporation's Task Force on Learning in the Primary Grades concluded: "With the notable exception of Head Start and some exemplary state-funded programs, programs attended by lower-income children do not ordinarily provide the full range of child development, health, and parent services that help children get ready for school."<sup>54</sup>

With 35 percent of America's children reaching school unprepared to succeed,<sup>55</sup> schools and teachers too often are overwhelmed, jeopardizing the development and education of even those children who are adequately prepared.<sup>56</sup>

From a law enforcement perspective, the child care crisis is especially severe because it strikes hardest at those most vulnerable—at-risk children. When we shortchange their child care, we increase the risk they will grow up to pose a threat to the rest of us.

## 4: Federal and State Governments Fall Short of the Investment Needed to Protect Public Safety

State and local governments long have shouldered the cost of public schools from kindergarten to high school and much of the cost of state university systems. But when it comes to child care, parenting education, and after-school programs, government help for struggling working parents has been disastrously inadequate.

The federal government assists families in obtaining child care primarily through:

**1. The Federal Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG)**, provides states with funds which can be used to help families making less than 85 percent of the state’s median income pay for child care. Most states, in practice, set an eligibility ceiling lower than 85 percent of median income. In order to receive the full federal CCDBG allocation, states must match a portion of the funding, and they may add additional funding. The resulting pool of federal and state funds is the **Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF)**.

Families receiving this assistance are free to choose child care provided by a relative or neighbor, a child care center, or someone who provides child care in the provider’s home. The average federal subsidy for the children served is about \$66 per week.<sup>57</sup>

States design their own programs to spend these funds as each sees fit, with virtually no federal requirements attached.<sup>58</sup> All states currently have established a sliding scale, under which the parents pay a greater portion of the cost of child care as their income approaches the eligibility ceiling, but the scale itself varies widely from one state to another.

Federal CCDBG and state funding for CCDF are woefully inadequate. A recent study by the Department of Health and Human Services found that seven out of eight eligible children with working parents—13.2 million of the 15 million eligible—get nothing from the Child Care and Development Fund, and the need is far from being met by other state or federal programs.<sup>59</sup>

An Urban Institute study of child care for low-income families in six communities (Bath, NY; Birmingham, AL; Boulder, CO; Chicago, IL; Dallas, TX; and San Francisco, CA) found that a low-income family with no welfare history, despite eligibility for child care subsidies, would find no funds available to them in five of the six communities.<sup>60</sup> Although families moving from welfare to work must be provided with transitional child care assistance, that assistance ends after one year, at which point

---

**‘Seven out of eight eligible children with working parents—13.2 million of the 15 million eligible—get nothing from the Child Care and Development Fund, and the need is far from being met by other state or federal programs’**

---

they may be unable to get assistance from any other source.<sup>61</sup> A recent Center for Law and Social Policy review of available state surveys found that, “In all of the states with data on this topic, less than 50 percent of the responding families that have left welfare and are now working are receiving child care assistance. In most of the study sites, utilization is 30 percent or less.” The review also found that in the few studies that asked these families about awareness, 40 percent or more of the families were unaware the subsidies were even available.<sup>62</sup>

**2. Head Start.** The Head Start program, which began in 1965, provides comprehensive education, social and emotional development programs, physical and mental health and nutrition services, and parent involvement for children whose families are below the poverty line. It serves primarily three- and four-year-olds. The federal government sets minimum standards, but funds hundreds of community-based grantees—non-profit organizations and school systems—to provide services.

---

**‘At its current level of funding, Head Start today can serve only about half of the three- and four-year olds eligible for the program’**

---

At its current level of funding, Head Start today can serve only about half of the three- and four-year olds eligible for the program.

Figures for 1999 show that approximately two thirds of Head Start families had at least one parent working, and almost half are working full time. About half of those who rely on Head Start indicate they need full time, full year child care.<sup>63</sup> Nevertheless, Head Start programs have been forced by inadequate funding to continue providing less than the nine-hour-a-day programs that full-time working parents typically need. In fact, only about 13 percent of Head Start programs provide full day programs.<sup>64</sup>

**3. Early Head Start** was created to provide comprehensive child development and family support services to low-income babies and toddlers from birth to age three. Its first 68 grants to community-based service providers were awarded late in 1995. In Fiscal Year 2000, Early Head Start served 49,459 children, or one in fifty who were eligible.<sup>65</sup>

**4. Tax Credits. The Dependent Care Tax Credit (DCTC)** allows parents who need child care in order to work to claim an income tax credit for part of their child care expenses for children under thirteen. While this credit is of value, it provides very little help to those families who most need it.

- The amount that went to families with under \$40,000 in household income in 1996 averaged \$409 a family.<sup>66</sup> In most cities, that’s less than the cost of one month’s child care for one child.<sup>67</sup>

- The poorest families (those with income so low they owe little or no income tax) get little or no benefit from a tax credit. Consequently, in 1995, of every \$100 in federal tax credits, only \$2.40 helped families with incomes under \$15,000, and \$97.60 went to families with incomes

higher than \$15,000.<sup>68</sup> In fact, about \$40 of every \$100 went to families making over \$50,000.<sup>69</sup>

Important but still marginal improvements to the Dependent Care Tax Credit were passed in 2001. It is still true, however, that the poorest families who need the credit the most don't get it, and the amount other families get remains far short of what they need to pay for quality child care.

The other substantial federal tax provision subsidizing child care, the Dependent Care Assistance Plan, allows an employee to set aside up to \$5,000 per year in non-taxed income for child care expenses. These credits, totaling \$890 million in fiscal year 1998,<sup>70</sup> were even more heavily tilted toward upper-income taxpayers.<sup>71</sup>

**5. State Funded Preschool Programs and State Funded Head Start.** Some states have made important commitments to early childhood care and development programs. Kentucky's statewide Family Resource Centers, based on the Schools of the 21st Century model, provide all-day educational child care for three-, four- and five-year-olds. North Carolina's pioneering Smart Start program initiated by Governor Jim Hunt; Ohio's Families and Children First Initiative, launched by former Governor George Voinovich; and Georgia's universal pre-kindergarten access program for four-year-olds are all making critical contributions. And New Jersey is beginning to implement a state Supreme Court order to provide high quality preschool in low-income school districts as a remedy for school finance inequities.

Still, states nationwide spend only about \$2 billion on pre-kindergarten and state funded Head Start programs that reach only about 700,000 kids.<sup>72</sup> Since many of the children served by these programs are not from low-income families, the number of low-income children served is even less. So despite such path-breaking work by some states, both state and federal investment has fallen lamentably and tragically short of what America's children and the public safety demand.

### **A Growing Crisis**

America faces a child care crisis. Fueled by welfare reform the need for child care is growing rapidly.

Salaries for child care workers in centers fall below those for parking lot attendants. Income for providers working in their homes is even lower.<sup>73</sup> As a consequence, workers are quitting in droves.<sup>74</sup> The Center for the Child Care Workforce reports that turnover among workers was 30 percent in 1997.<sup>75</sup> This is not just an economic problem, "What we experience as turnover, children experience as loss."<sup>76</sup>


---

**'What we experience  
as turnover, children  
experience as loss'**

---

### Parking Lot Attendants Paid More Than Child Care Providers

A comparison of median hourly wages between child care jobs and other occupations based on Bureau of Labor Statistics data for 1997.



Center for the Child Care Workforce

## 5: Penny-Wise, Pound-Foolish Policy Choices: Wasting Money and Lives

Do investments in school readiness child care bust budgets—or save money? Extensive analysis of the High/Scope Perry Preschool Program, and just released new results available from the ongoing, publicly-funded Chicago Child-Parent Centers demonstrate overwhelmingly that these are wise investments.

Rutgers University economist Steven Barnett has estimated that the High/Scope Perry Preschool Program produced nearly \$150,000 per participant in savings from reduced crime alone.<sup>77</sup> Even after discounting these savings to take into account interest which could have been earned on the preschool investment while the High/Scope toddlers were growing up, Barnett concluded that the net savings were more than \$70,000 per participant in crime-related savings alone, and a total of \$88,000 once welfare, tax and other savings are included.<sup>78</sup>

In short, every dollar invested in the High/Scope Perry program returned \$7.16 to the public. These savings count only the benefits to the public at large—in reduced costs of crime, welfare and remedial education and in added revenues from taxes paid when the preschoolers became adult workers—without even taking into account the enormous direct benefits to the kids themselves.<sup>79</sup>

Barnett estimates that the cost to society of failing to provide at least two years of quality early-childhood care and education to low-income children is approximately \$100,000 per child, totaling about \$400 billion for all poor children now under five.<sup>80</sup>

A recent RAND Corporation study found that even after excluding all benefits to crime victims and other citizens, and discounting to account for alternative investments, savings to government alone from providing the High/Scope services would come to twice the program's costs.<sup>81</sup>


Economists, looking at this, other research and their own analyses, concluded that spending on early childhood programs are among the best investments government can make in education. As Nobel prize-winning economist James Heckman at the University of Chicago put it, “Skills [including social skills] acquired early on make later learning easier.”<sup>82</sup>

### School Readiness Child Care Saves Taxpayers' Money

Even after accounting for inflation, over seven dollars was saved by the public for every dollar invested in a preschool and home visitation program.



**For every \$100  
Invested**



**Over \$700 was  
saved**

High/Scope Perry Preschool Program

---

**‘Investing in early  
childhood education  
provides government  
and society with  
estimated rates of  
return that would  
make a venture  
capitalist envious’**

---

William Gale and Isabel V. Sawhill of the Brookings Institution, wrote that investing in early childhood education provides government and society “with estimated rates of return that would make a venture capitalist envious.”<sup>83</sup>

A 1997 study by Professor Mark A. Cohen of Vanderbilt University estimated that each high-risk youth prevented from adopting a life of crime could save the country between \$1.7 million and \$2.3 million.<sup>84</sup>

New research proves that similar savings can be realized when quality early-childhood programs are brought to full scale. As described earlier, Chicago’s Child-Parent Center federal and state-funded program has been providing quality early childhood education and parent training to almost 100,000 at-risk kids since 1967.<sup>85</sup> A study tracked almost 1,000 children who attended the centers and 550 similar kids who did not attend in 1984. The program dramatically reduced crime, special education placements and the number of kids held back in school, while increasing high school graduation rates.

---

**‘The bottom line:  
investments in quality  
child development  
and after-school  
programs are money-  
savers, not budget-  
busters’**

---

The program produced over seven dollars in benefits for every dollar expended. Even if the increased lifetime earnings of the participants are excluded, the monetary savings to the government and crime victims total \$3.83 per dollar invested. The real savings to the public are actually much higher, because all of these estimates count only monetary savings and completely exclude the value of all the pain and suffering of persons who might have been crime victims had this program not existed.<sup>86</sup>

Even excluding victim pain and suffering and increased lifetime earnings for participating children, monetary savings alone generated by the program in serving just the 100,000 children it has thus far served in Chicago come to \$2.6 billion! Making programs like this available nationally could save hundreds of billions of dollars.<sup>87</sup>

The Committee for Economic Development summed up the bottom line this way in calling for investments in educational child care programs:

“Education is an investment, not an expense. If we can ensure that all children are born healthy and develop the skills and knowledge they need to be productive, self-supporting adults, whatever is spent on their development and education will be returned many times over in higher productivity, incomes, and taxes, and in lower costs for welfare, health care, crime, and myriad other economic and social problems.”<sup>88</sup>

The bottom line: investments in quality child development and after-school programs are money-savers, not budget-busters.

## 6: From Experts in Child Care Development and the Front Lines of the Battle Against Crime: A Call for Action

The people on the front lines fighting crime are less concerned with political ideology than with hard-nosed practical solutions. They insist on doing what really works to fight crime.

Everyone agrees, of course, that dangerous criminals need to be locked up. But the people who work day-in and day-out to track down, arrest, and prosecute criminals know that this vital defense is only a stop-gap measure. So do crime victims.

Today, hundreds of our nation's most distinguished police chiefs, sheriffs, prosecutors and crime victims have joined with experts in child development in calling on all public officials to protect the public safety by providing all infants, toddlers and preschool children access to quality child care at a price their parents can afford.

National and state law enforcement organizations have joined in the call for educational child care for all children. The Major Cities (Police) Chiefs organization, the Police Executive Research Forum, the National District Attorneys Association, The National Sheriffs Association, and law enforcement associations in Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Michigan, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island and Texas have called on government to guarantee all kids access to child care as a necessary step to reduce crime.

That means child care with enough well-trained staff to ensure that young children receive the nurturing and stimulating environment they need to start school ready to succeed and to learn the core values that begin to develop in the first years of life. To be most effective in reducing crime, quality child care and development programs for at-risk families should include parenting education and parent involvement.

At the federal level, this means we should be assuring that our most at-risk babies and toddlers receive the care they need from birth to age three through Early Head Start and other quality programs. It means we should be assuring that Head Start has enough funding to serve all the low-income children who need it, and provide full-day, high-quality, year-round care for the children of working parents. And it means sufficient increases in funding for educational child care programs so that all families will have the help they need to access quality care.

---

**'We should be assuring that Head Start has enough funding to serve all the low-income children who need it, and provide full-day, high-quality, year-round care for the children of working parents'**

---

It means states should sharply increase their investments in school readiness child care programs to help their children get the right start in life.

---

**'A gaping crime-  
prevention deficit'**

---

## **Conclusion**

Our federal and state governments are falling far short of the investments in child care needed to meet their responsibility to protect the public safety. That shortfall is part of a gaping crime-prevention deficit that jeopardizes the safety of every American.

It is time that leaders at the state and federal levels lay out a plan to eliminate that deficit. No responsibility of federal and state governments is more fundamental than protecting the public safety.

That responsibility simply cannot be met without providing communities with the resources to assure that all families, especially those whose children are most at risk of going astray, have access to quality child care at a price they can afford.

***"We need to start fighting crime in the high chair, not the electric chair."***

George Sweat  
former Police Chief of Winston-Salem, North Carolina

## Endnotes:

- <sup>1</sup> FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS, “Poll of Police Chiefs conducted by George Mason University Professors Stephen D. Mastrofski and Scott Keeter,” Washington, DC, November 1, 1999.
- <sup>2</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>3</sup> Bureau of Labor Statistics, Green Book, Washington, D.C. 1996.
- <sup>4</sup> Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., “The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School,” 1999, <http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm>.
- <sup>5</sup> Barnett, W. S., “Cost Benefit Analysis”, in Schweinhart, L.J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., Significant Benefits: (The High/Scope Press, 1993), pp. 161-162. and, Karoly, L.A., Greenwood, P.W., Everingham, S.S., Hoube, J., Kilburn, R.M., Rydell, C. P., Sanders M., Chiesa, J., “Investing in Our Children: What We Know and Don’t Know About the Costs and Benefits of Early Childhood Interventions,” RAND, Santa Monica CA, 1998.
- <sup>6</sup> Numerous studies demonstrate the role of educational child care in preventing problem behaviors at later ages in a child’s development. See for example: Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., “The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School,” 1999, <http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm>. Further research has shown that school-age children with problem behaviors are at higher risk to become criminals, for example: Ensminger, M.E., Kellam, S.G., and Rubin, B.R. (1983). “School and Family Origins of Delinquency: Comparisons by Sex.” In K.T., Van Dusen & S.A. Mednick (Eds.), *Prospective Studies of Crime and Delinquency*, (pp. 730-97). Boston, MA: Kluwer-Nijhoff.; Tremblay, R.E., Kurtz, L., Masse, L. C., Vitaro, F., & Pihl, R.O. “A Bimodal Intervention for Disruptive Kindergarten Boys; Its Impact Through Mid-adolescence. Unpublished manuscript. Montreal: University of Montreal, Research Unit on Children’s Psycho-Social Maladjustment, 1994; and Elliott, D.S., Hamburg, B.A., Williams, K.R., *Violence in American Schools*, New York, New York, Cambridge University Press, 1998. Two of the studies that tracked at-risk children in model pre-school programs into their crime-prone years found strong evidence (discussed in this report) that later crime and violence can be prevented by these early-childhood programs: Lally, J.R., Mangione, P.L., and Honig, A.S., “The Syracuse University Family development Research Program: Long-Range Impact of an Early Intervention with Low-Income Children and Their Families.” In D.R. Powell (Ed.), *Parent Education as Early Childhood Intervention: Emerging Directions in Theory, Research and Practice* (pp. 79-104), Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corp (1988); and, Schweinhart, L. J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., *Significant Benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27* (Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press, 1993). For an overview of the issues and research, see also the book: Karr-Morse, R., Wiley M.S., *Ghosts from the Nursery: Tracing the Roots of Violence*, Atlantic Monthly Press, New York, 1997.
- <sup>7</sup> Schweinhart, L. J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., *Significant Benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27* (Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press, 1993).
- <sup>8</sup> Reynolds, A.J., et al., “Long-term Effects of an Early Childhood Intervention on Educational Achievement and Juvenile Arrest: A 15-Year Follow-up of Low-Income children in Public Schools,” *JAMA*, May 9, 2001, v. 285, no. 18.
- <sup>9</sup> Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., “The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School,” 1999, <http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm>.
- <sup>10</sup> Schulman, K., *The High Cost of Child Care Puts Quality Care Out of Reach of Many Families*, Children’s Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., 2000.
- <sup>11</sup> Blank, H., Schulman, K., Ewen, D., *Key Facts: Essential Information About Child Care, Early Education, and School-Age Care*, Children’s Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., November 1999.
- <sup>12</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>13</sup> See the Department of Health and Human Services web page: <http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/news/press/2000/ccstudy.htm>.
- <sup>14</sup> Blank, H., Schulman, K., Ewen, D., *Key Facts: Essential Information About Child Care, Early Education, and School-Age Care*, Children’s Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., November 1999.
- <sup>15</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>16</sup> Barnett, W. S., “Cost Benefit Analysis”, in Schweinhart, L.J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., *Significant Benefits: (The High/Scope Press, 1993)*, pp. 161-162.

- <sup>17</sup> Reynolds, A.J., et al., "Cost Benefit Analysis of the Title 1 Chicago Child-Parent Center Program Executive Summary," handed out to Congressional staffers and other participants at a American Youth Policy Forum briefing on Capitol Hill, June 18, 2001. Publication in another format is expected in the near future.
- <sup>18</sup> Committee for Economic Development, Research and Policy Committee, "The Unfinished Agenda: A New Vision for Child Development and Education" (New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1991), pp. 27-28.
- <sup>19</sup> Carnegie Task Force on Meeting the Needs of Young Children, *Starting Points: Meeting the Needs of Our Youngest Children* (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1994), p. 8.
- <sup>20</sup> Yoshikawa, H., "Long-Term Effects of Early Childhood Programs on Social Outcomes and Delinquency," *Long Term Outcomes of Early Childhood Programs, The Future of Children*, Vol. 5, No. 3 (Winter 1995), pp. 56,59; Zigler, E., and Styfco, S.J., "Can Early Childhood Intervention Prevent Delinquency? A Real Possibility," *Constructive and Destructive Behavior: Implications for Family, School and Society* (forthcoming), pp. 25-27.
- <sup>21</sup> Schweinhart, L. J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., *Significant Benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27* (Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press, 1993). More than 1/3 (35%) of the control group, but only 1/14 (7%) of the pre-schooled group, had been arrested more than four times by age 27. Among males, nearly half (49%) of the control group, but less than 1/8 of the preschooled group, had more than four arrests by age 27.
- <sup>22</sup> Lally, J.R., Mangione, P.L., and Honig, A.S., "The Syracuse University Family Development Research Program: Long-Range Impact of an Early Intervention with Low-Income Children and Their Families," in D.R. Powell (Ed.), *Parent Education as Early Childhood Intervention: Emerging Directions in Theory, Research and Practice* (pp. 79-104), Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corp (1988). For this purpose, "delinquency" means conduct which would be considered criminal if committed by an adult.
- <sup>23</sup> Schweinhart, L. J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., *Significant Benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27* (Ypsilanti, MI: High/Scope Press, 1993).
- <sup>24</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>25</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>26</sup> FPG-UNC Smart Start Evaluation Team, "Smart Start: A Six County Study of the Effects of Smart Start Child Care on Kindergarten Entry Skills," Frank Porter Graham Child Development Center Smart Start Evaluation Team, North Carolina, September 1999.
- <sup>27</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>28</sup> Ensminger, M.E., Kellam, S.G., and Rubin, B.R., "School and Family Origins of Delinquency: Comparisons by Sex." In K.T., Van Dusen & S.A. Mednick (Eds.), *Prospective Studies of Crime and Delinquency*, (pp. 73097), (1983) Boston, MA; Kluwer-Nijhoff.; Tremblay, R.E., Kurtz, L., Masse, L.C., Vitaro, F., & Pihl, R.O. (1994) . "A Bimodal Intervention for Disruptive Kindergarten Boys; Its Impact Through Mid-adolescence. Unpublished manuscript. Montreal: University of Montreal, Research Unit on Children's Psycho-Social Maladjustment; and Elliott, D.S., Hamburg, B.A., Williams, K.R., *Violence in American Schools*, New York, New York, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- <sup>29</sup> Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., "The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School," 1999, <http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm>.
- <sup>30</sup> Reynolds, A.J., et al., "Long-term Effects of an Early Childhood Intervention on Educational Achievement and Juvenile Arrest: A 15-Year Follow-up of Low-Income children in Public Schools," *JAMA*, May 9, 2001, v. 285, no. 18.
- <sup>31</sup> Shore, R., "Rethinking the Brain: New Insights into Early Development" Families and Work Institute, 1997, p. 27.
- <sup>32</sup> Oral statement of Donna Klein, Congressional Staff Briefing on School-Age Care, January 13, 1998.
- <sup>33</sup> Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., "The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School," 1999, <http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm>.
- <sup>34</sup> Carnegie Task Force on Learning in the Primary Grades, *Years of Promise: A Comprehensive Strategy for America's Children* (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1996), p. 69,
- <sup>35</sup> Committee for Economic Development, Research and Policy Committee, *The Unfinished Agenda: A New Vision for Child Development and Education* (New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1991), pp. 27-28.
- <sup>36</sup> Yoshikawa, H., "Long-Term Effects of Early Childhood Programs on Social Outcomes and Delinquency," *Long Term Outcomes of Early Childhood Programs: The Future of Children*, Vol. 5, No. 3 (Winter 1995), p. 68.

- <sup>37</sup> Carnegie Task Force on Meeting the Needs of Young Children, *supra*, n.71, p.49. see also: Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., “The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School,” 1999, <http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm>.
- <sup>38</sup> Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Team, “Cost, Quality, and Child Outcomes in Child Care Centers: Key Findings and Recommendations, Young Children, May 1995, p. 40-44.
- <sup>39</sup> *Ibid.*
- <sup>40</sup> Galinsky, E., et al. *The Study of Children in Family Child Care and Relative Care: Highlights of Findings, Families and Work Institute, New York, 1994.*
- <sup>41</sup> *Ibid.*, and Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., “The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School,” 1999, <http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm>.
- <sup>42</sup> Committee for Economic Development, Research and Policy Committee, *The Unfinished Agenda: A New Vision for Child Development and Education* (New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1991), p. 31.
- <sup>43</sup> Schulman, K., Adams, G., “Issue Brief: The High Cost of Child Care Puts Quality Care Out of Reach for Many Families,” Children’s Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., December, 1998.
- <sup>44</sup> Carnegie Task Force on Meeting the Needs of Young Children, *Starting Points: Meeting the Needs of Our Youngest Children* (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1994), p. 4.
- <sup>45</sup> *Ibid.*
- <sup>46</sup> Schulman, K., *The High Cost of Child Care Puts Quality Care Out of Reach of Many Families*, Children’s Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., 2000.
- <sup>47</sup> Carnegie Task Force on Meeting the Needs of Young Children, *supra*, 71.
- <sup>48</sup> Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Team, “Cost, Quality, and Child Outcomes in Child Care Centers: Key Findings and Recommendations, Young Children, May 1995; and Galinsky, E., et al. *The Study of Children in Family Child Care and Relative Care: Highlights of Findings, Families and Work Institute, New York, 1994.*
- <sup>49</sup> One-third of all child care teachers leave their centers each year. “Profile: The Child Care Work Force (Washington, DC: National Center for the Early Childhood Work Force, 1998). That is five times the turnover rate reported for public school teachers and three times the annual turnover reported by U.S companies overall. Carnegie Task Force on Meeting the Needs of Young Children, *Starting Points: Meeting the Needs of Our Youngest Children* (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1994), p. 55.
- <sup>50</sup> Department of Health and Human Services, “Access to Child Care for Low-Income Working Families,” Washington, D.C. 1999, [www.acf.dhhs.gov/news/ccreport.htm](http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/news/ccreport.htm).
- <sup>51</sup> Schulman, K., *The High Cost of Child Care Puts Quality Care Out of Reach of Many Families*, Children’s Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., 2000.
- <sup>52</sup> Peisner-Feinberg, E.S., et al., “The Children of the Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Go to School,” 1999, <http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~NCEDL/PAGES/cq.htm>.; Carnegie Task Force on Learning in the Primary Grades, *Years of Promise: A Comprehensive Strategy for America’s Children* (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1996), p. 57; Packard Foundation, *The Future of Children: Long-Term Outcomes of Early Childhood Programs*, Vol. 5, No. 3 (Los Altos, CA: Center for the Future of Children, The David and Lucille Packard Foundation, Winter 1995), p. 8; Cost, Quality, and Outcomes Study Team, “Cost, Quality, and Child Outcomes in Child Care Centers: Key Findings and Recommendations, Young Children, May 1995, p. 4.
- <sup>53</sup> Adams, G.C., Poersch, N.O., *Key Facts about Child care and early education: A Briefing Book*, Children’s Defense Fund, Washington, D.C., 1997, p. C-4.
- <sup>54</sup> Carnegie Task Force on Learning in the Primary Grades, *Years of Promise: A Comprehensive Strategy for America’s Children* (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1996), p. 57.
- <sup>55</sup> Boyer, E.L., “Ready to Learn: a Mandate for the Nation, Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, New Jersey, 1991.
- <sup>56</sup> Committee for Economic Development, Research and Policy Committee, *The Unfinished Agenda: A New Vision for Child Development and Education* (New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1991), p. 16.
- <sup>57</sup> Council of Economic Advisors, *The Economics of Child Care* (Washington, DC: Executive Office of the President, Council of Economic Advisors, December 1997), unnumbered table following p. 4.
- <sup>58</sup> The only significant requirements are: a) that the state establish reimbursement rates that allow children receiving assistance to have access to child care comparable to other children; and b) that at least 4% of the funds be spent on activities of the state’s choosing to improve the quality and supply of child care. States typically

use these funds to support training for child care providers, resource and referral agencies that train and recruit providers and help families find care, and grants and loans to providers and to mothers. See Children's Defense Fund, "Federal and State Government, Partners in Child Care," p. 1.

- <sup>59</sup> See the Department of Health and Human Services web page: <http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/news/press/2000/ccstudy.htm>.
- <sup>60</sup> Urban Institute, "Services for Low-Income Children" (1997), p. 2.
- <sup>61</sup> Ibid., p. 5.
- <sup>62</sup> Schumacher, R., Greenberg, M., "Child Care After Leaving Welfare: Early Evidence from State Studies", Center for Law and Social Policy, Washington D.C., 1999.
- <sup>63</sup> From a conversation by one of the authors with researchers at the Head Start Bureau, 1/5/00.
- <sup>64</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>65</sup> General Accounting Office, "Education and Care: Early Childhood Programs and Services for Low-Income Families," General Accounting Office, Washington D.C., November 1999.
- <sup>66</sup> Based on data provided by Janet Holtzblatt of the Treasury Department. For 1995, \$383,763,000 in tax credits were for-gone for 2,657,255 filers with incomes under \$40,000. That equals \$409 per filer.
- <sup>67</sup> Blank, H. Schulman, K., Ewen, D., Key Facts: Essential Information About Child Care, Early Education, and School-Age Care, Children's Defense Fund, Washington D.C., November 1999.
- <sup>68</sup> Based on 1995 data provided by Janet Holtzblatt of the Treasury Department.
- <sup>69</sup> Mitchell, A., Stoney, L., Dicheter, Hl, Financing Child Care in the United States: An Illustrative Catalog of Current strategies, Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation and the Pew Charitable Trust, 1997.
- <sup>70</sup> Council of Economic Advisors, The Economics of child Care, Executive Office of the President, Council of Economic Advisors, Washington, D.C., December 1997, table following p.4.
- <sup>71</sup> Carnegie Task Force on Learning in the Primary Grades, Years of Promise: A Comprehensive Strategy for America's Children (New York: Carnegie Corporation of New York, 1996)
- <sup>72</sup> Schulman, K., Blank, K., Ewen, D., Seeds of Success: State Prekindergarten Initiatives 1998-1999, Children's Defense Fund, September, 1999.
- <sup>73</sup> Center for the Child Care Workforce, "Current Data on Child Care Salaries and Benefits in the United States," Center for the Child Care Workforce, Washington, D.C., March 1999.
- <sup>74</sup> Salmon, J.L., "For Many Children, Nowhere to Go: High Demand, Low Wages Put the Squeeze on Child-Care Providers," The Washington Post, p.A1, September 19, 1999.
- <sup>75</sup> National Center for the Early Childhood Work Force, "Profile: The Child Care Work Force," Washington, DC, 1998.
- <sup>76</sup> Quote from Deborah Philips, child development expert.
- <sup>77</sup> Barnett, W. S., "Cost Benefit Analysis", in Schweinhart, L.J., Barnes, H.V., Weikart, D.P., Significant Benefits: (The High/Scope Press, 1993), pp. 161-162.
- <sup>78</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>79</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>80</sup> Barnett, W. S., "Long-Term Effects of Early Childhood Programs on Cognitive and School Outcomes," The Future of Children, Vol 5, No. 3, Winter 1995, p.45.
- <sup>81</sup> Karoly, L.A., Greenwood, P.W., Everingham, S.S., Hoube, J., Kilburn, R.M., Rydell, C.P., Sanders M., Chiesa, J., "Investing in Our Children: What We Know and Don't Know About the Costs and Benefits of Early Childhood Interventions," RAND, Santa Monica CA, 1998.
- <sup>82</sup> Heckman, J.J., "Policies to Foster Human Capital" Working Paper 7288, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA, August, 1999.
- <sup>83</sup> Gale, W., Sawhill, I.V., "The Best Return on the Surplus" The Washington Post, Opinion Page, 2/17/99.
- <sup>84</sup> Cohen, M. A., "The Monetary Value of Saving a High Risk Youth," July 1997 (unpublished). (Permission for use granted by Professor Cohen).
- <sup>85</sup> Miedel, W.T., Parent Involvement in Children's Education and Educational Attainment: Is There a Connection?" Symposium Presentation at the Meeting of the Society for Research on Adolescence, Chicago IL, March 30, 2000.
- <sup>86</sup> Reynolds, A.J., et al., "Cost Benefit Analysis of the Title 1 Chicago Child-Parent Center Program Executive Summary," handed out to Congressional staffers and other participants at a American Youth Policy Forum briefing on Capitol Hill, June 18, 2001. Publication in another format is expected in the near future.
- <sup>87</sup> Ibid.

<sup>88</sup> Committee for Economic Development, Research and Policy Committee, *The Unfinished Agenda: A New Vision for Child Development and Education* York: Committee for Economic Development, 1991), pp. 27-28.

# FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS

## What

---

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS, founded in 1996, is a bipartisan, non-profit anti-crime organization led by America's best-known police chiefs, sheriffs, prosecutors and victims of violence.

## Who

---

FIGHT CRIME is led by National and State Advisory Committees that include over 1,000 police chiefs, sheriffs, prosecutors, and victims of violence. One of the founding members was former Attorney General Elliot Richardson. Present leaders include the presidents of the Fraternal Order of Police, the International Union of Police Associations, and the Police Executive Research Forum. The victims of violence include Marc Klass, whose daughter Polly was abducted and murdered in California, and Jean Lewis, past president of the National Organization of Parents of Murdered Children.

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS' Crime and Violence Prevention Resource Council includes leading experts in criminology, child development and law enforcement.

## Resources available at [www.fightcrime.org](http://www.fightcrime.org)

---

FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS' *School and Youth Violence Prevention Plan*. A four-point plan provides a roadmap for how public officials can dramatically reduce crime.

*America's After-School Choice: The Prime Time for Juvenile Crime or Youth Enrichment and Achievement*.

*Smoking Reduction Impact of Early Childhood and After-School Programs Fact Sheet*. A one-page fact sheet showing that after-school and early childhood programs also help to prevent smoking, teen pregnancy and drug use.

*Crime-Prevention Impact of Investments in Children and Youth Graphs and PowerPoint Presentation*. A PowerPoint and/or transparency presentation of the evidence showing effective programs for children reduce crime.

*National Poll of Police Chiefs*. The poll shows law enforcement's overwhelming support for crime-prevention programs such as: Head Start, educational child care, and after-school programs.

*Back-to-School public opinion poll*. A poll showing that increased funding for educational child care and after-school programs tie with Social Security and Medicare as a top priority for the public.

*Juvenile Crime public opinion poll*. A poll showing that the public wants more prevention programs in the fight against juvenile crime.

**For more information on  
FIGHT CRIME: INVEST IN KIDS  
or to access our other reports  
contact us at:**

***[www.fightcrime.org](http://www.fightcrime.org)***

Readers interested in being kept  
up to date on crime prevention  
research and policy developments  
may subscribe to the

**FIGHT CRIME listserv at  
*[www.fightcrime.org/email.html](http://www.fightcrime.org/email.html)***

